

1885-010 Chancery Causes: John E. Debussk vs. Alfred Leedy &
Lee Co.

Gibson, Sarver, Woodward

1 Plot

CA-Contract Dispute
T-Property

-Seed

To the Hon. John A. Kelley Judge of the Circuit
Court of Lee County Virginia:

Humbly complaining your Orator John E.
Debusk would respectfully show unto your
^{Honor} that recently he prosecuted in this Honorable Court
to a final decree, a chancery suit against one
Afraid Seely, the object of which was to compel
the specific execution of a contract for the conveyance
of a tract of land which object was attained in that suit.

In the prosecution of that suit your Orator incurred
costs to the amount of \$33.63 and by decree entered
therein on the 6th day of April, and the 1st day
of September 1883 it was among other things adjudged
ordered and decreed that your Orator recover against
said Seely the costs of that suit which as before
stated amounted to \$33.63 and abstracts from said
decree, with the taxation of the costs ^{of that suit} by the clerk of this
court, is herewith filed as a part of this bill marked (A)

Since the rendition of the last mentioned decree
an execution has been issued by the clerk of this Hon.
Court in favor of your Orator against said Afraid Seely
for the costs of said suit, and was placed in the
hands of the proper officers of this court to be by him colle-
cted, but the sheriff to whom the same was so delivered
has returned said execution to the clerk's office "no property
found. Your Orator states that no part of said costs
has ever been paid to him by said Seely or any one else
and that such and every part thereof is ^{now} owing him.

Your Orator states that while said Suit was pending in Court One Joel Seely the father of said Alfred Seely departed this life intestate in this county, the owner of two tracts of land lying near to, or adjoining each other and about three miles west of the Court House.

These lands descended at his death of said Joel Seely to his thirteen children his heirs at law subject to the dower right of his widow thereon. And the said Alfred Seely is one of the said thirteen heirs.

By a Chancery suit lately determined in this Honorable Court, the said lands were partitioned among said thirteen heirs, and dower was assigned said widow and your Orator herewith files as a part of this Bill marked (B) a copy of the plot which accompanies the report of the commissioners who made said partition which shows the said two tracts of land, the portion thereof ^{the lot} assigned the widow as dower, and the several lots assigned the several heirs, and also the courses and distances which surround and bound the lot assigned to the said Alfred Seely. And your Orator states that the lot designated on said plot as lot No. 11 is the lot which was assigned said Alfred Seely in said partition.

Your Orator further states that the land thus assigned ^{the} ^{as dower} widow was not partitioned among said heirs, so that said Alfred Seely in addition to lot No. 11, owns a remainder in said dower lands consisting of one undivided ^{thereof} part thereof. During the pendency of said Suit as well as now the said Alfred Seely was, and is

the names of said lot No. 11 and of one undivided thirtieth part of said Dower, and the decree aforesaid in favor of your Orator against said Alfred Seedy for the costs aforesaid operates as a lien on said land from the time the same was so rendered and your Orator is advised that it is the province of a court of equity to enforce such liens:

Your Orator however states that on the 15th day of March 1883 just before said Dower for costs was so rendered, the said Alfred Seedy anticipating that event, and for the purpose of placing said real estate beyond the reach of your Orator, pretended to convey by deed said lot No. 11 to his two sons David W. and Harvey J. Seedy both of whom are minors under 21 years old, and a copy of this pretended deed of conveyance is herewith filed as a further part of this bill (Marked (C))

The consideration mentioned in this pretended deed, is natural love and affection, and the valuable consideration of five dollars. But your Orator charges that said pretended conveyance was ^{wholly} without consideration and is therefore void as to creditors of whom your Orator is one. But your Orator alleges, and he will be able to make good the allegation by testimony that cannot be gainsaid, that the object had in view by the said Alfred Seedy at the time he executed said pretended conveyance to his two sons above named was to place said land beyond the reach of your Orator. Your Orator therefore charges that said pretended conveyance is grossly fraudulent

and made expressly for the purpose of hindering delay-
ing and defrauding your Orator in the collection of
the costs now due him from said Leedy, And this being
the character of that transaction your Orator is
advised that a court of equity will set aside said
pretended deed of conveyance, and hold the same for
naught, and that it will enforce the lien of said
decree for costs, by selling so much of said land as
will be sufficient for that purpose. And to obtain
these ends is the object of this suit

Your Orator therefore prays that said Alfred Leedy
David W. Leedy, and Harvey J. Leedy be made defendants
to this bill and be required to answer the same fully,
on Oath, that Alfred Leedy will answer and say whether
or not he did not execute said pretended deed of con-
veyance with the sole view of placing the same beyond
the reach of your Orator, that a guardian ad litem
be appointed for the said David W. and Harvey J. Leedy
to defend their interest in this cause, And upon
a final hearing of the cause a decree be rendered in favor
of your Orator against said Alfred Leedy for said sum of
\$33.63 and for the costs of this suit, that said pretended deed
of conveyance by said Alfred to his said two sons be
cancelled set aside and held for naught, And that so
much of said real estate be decreed to be sold as will
be necessary for these purposes And your Orator prays
for all general relief, May the honorable writ of
Spec. Issue directed &c.

Henry J. Morgan for Plaintiff

G. A. L.
 Co. to Aug 1884
 8
 Co. to
 G. A. L.
 Estimate
 1.50
 18.00
 1.50
 44
 26

John E. Dibrish

vs. Bill

Alfred Seedy & al.

1884, June Bill Filed.

" July Spa Exd + D. N.

" Aug. Aus G. A. L. filed for
 infants + D. N. confd as
 to adult deft. and
 Cause set for hearing by
 Plaintiff

" Aug Term Decree + contd.

1885. Wm. Decree + contd.

" Aug Decree final

To The Hon Jno A Kelly Judge of the Circuit
Court of Dubuque Co

The answer of Daniel M. and Henry J Ledy by
Jno M Morgan Their guardian ad litem to a bill
filed in this honorable court against them and
Alfred Ledy by Jno. E Debusk

This Respondent says that his said wards
are young and of tender years and as such
are the peculiar wards of courts of equity
and their interests in this cause is respec-
tfully committed to your Honor.

Respondent further says that he sup-
poses that it is true that the Plaintiff now
owned as alleged the costs as alleged against
Alfred Ledy, and he supposes it is also
true that Alfred Ledy conveyed to his said
two wards lot no 11 as charged in the bill
and respondent supposes it is also true
that the consideration mentioned in said
~~Bill~~ of conveyance is natural love and
affection and the valuable consideration of
five dollars. But Respondent does not know
what the Real consideration was,

Respondent knows nothing of any fraud
in relation to the said conveyance either act
real or constructive and his said two
wards are so young that they are incap-
able of committing any fraud themselves and
hence respondent alleges that there

was no fraud actual or constructive
on the part of his said wards in the
execution of said deed to them.

As to whether or not there was fraud
intended on the part of said Alfred
Ludy this respondent knows nothing
but acting on behalf of his wards he
~~denies~~ that there was any fraud actual
or constructive in the whole transaction
and Respondent therefore calls upon the
Plff for proof of the fraud alleged.
Respondent having now answered as
fully as he deems it necessary, he
prays that Plaintiffs bill be taken
dismissed and his wards decreed their
costs,

Jos M. Morgan
Guard A.D. Litem for
Daniel W. & H. J. Ludy.

John E. Debursky
vs $\frac{3}{4}$ Ans of G.A.L.

Alfred Leidy et al

Filed July. 1884

J. Alstyatt
clerk

John E. Debusch

vs.

Rebecca Leedy & al

Plffs

Defts

In Chancery

This cause came on to be finally heard this day on the papers heretofore read in the cause and the further report of Comr. Henry J. Morgan dated the 1885 showing that he had executed the deed as directed by the decree in this cause entered on a former day of the present term, and was argued by counsel, and the said report being unexcepted to. On consideration of all which it is adjudged ordered & decreed that the said report and deed thereunto be confirmed, and the clerk of this, will deliver to the clerk of the county court of Lee said deed. in order that the same may be recorded & no further action being necessary the parties are since dismissed & the cause stricken from the docket.

John E. DeLusk

no. 3 Decm No 4 final

Refred Saedy cal

Enterorb page

1463

J. C. DeLusk

Enter this

J. C. DeLusk

Aug. 27/85

John E. Debush

25.

Alfred Leedy & Co

Paff

10 ft

In January.

This cause came on again to be heard on the

papers heretofore read in the cause, and the report of

Henry J. Morgan Special Commissioner dated May 19--

and filed in the cause the 29th of May 1885. Showing

the sale of the lands in the bill mentioned to A. L. Bridgman

\$75.00 the receipt of the purchase price and the disburse

- sent thereof, and was agreed by Council, And said report

being unacceptable to on consideration of all which it

is adjudged ordered and decreed that said report be &

The same is hereby conferred in all things, And said

Morgan is again appointed a commissioner and

he is directed to convey with covenants of Special

warranty the $2\frac{1}{2}$ acre lot of land in the bill mentioned

and in explicit (B) shown by notes & brands, & the depth of the sea & Surge

undecided interest in the cloverlands mentioned to the

said A. L. Poulson, and he will report his action to

the court & until the coming in of the cause is continued

John E. Debusk Paff
vs.
Alfred Seedy & Co Defts }

This cause came on again to be further heard on the
papers formerly read in the cause, and the report of
Comt. John A. G. Hyatt filed Oct 3 1884 and was
argued by counsel. And said report being accepted
to, on consideration of all which it is adjudged
and decreed that said report be confirmed, and its
appearing thereby that the rents and profits of the land
in the bill mentioned will not in five years pay
the sum decreed the plaintiff in cause on the
27th day of Sept 1884. It is now further adjudged
ordered and decreed that the deed of conveyance in
the bill mentioned made and executed by Alfred Seedy
to his two infant children David W. and Harvey J. Seedy
dated March the 15 1884. be and the same is hereby set
aside, canceled and held for naught. And unless the
sum heretofore decreed the plaintiff be paid to him in
twenty days from the rising of the court, by said Seedy
or some one for him then that the lands in the bill mentioned
or so much thereof as may be necessary be sold for
cash in hand to the highest bidder at the front door
of the court house of Lee County on some court day
after the same shall have been advertised for 30 days
prior thereto showing the time place and terms of sale.
And Henry J. Morgan is appointed a Special Commissioner
to make said sale, who before doing so, will execute and

John E. Debeak

25. { Deem No. 2. Vending Deem.

Agreed Sealed & sub

Wash of Deem 1885

Count's page 123

J. A. Debeak & Co.

Caution this Mar 26 1885

J. A. V.

Before the clerk of this court with good security in
the sum of One hundred dollars with condition to
faithfully account for all money he may receive by
virtue of this decree and said court will up to his
action to the court and the cause is continued

John E. DeBrock.

vs.

Alfred Seedy and others

Peff

In Lohy

Deft.

This cause came on this day to be heard on the bill of the plaintiff and exhibits thereunto, taken for confessed by the defendant Alfred Seedy, the answer of the infant defendants by John M. Morgan their guardian ad litem, and the deposition of John R. Gibson filed in the cause, and was argued by counsel. On consideration of all which it is adjudged ordered and decreed that the Peff recover of the deft. Alfred Seedy \$33.63 the aggregate amount of the ^{of the suit} costs in the bill mentioned, with legal interest thereon from this day till paid and the costs of this suit; And it appearing from the proof in the cause that the plaintiff is entitled to have the deed of conveyance in the bill mentioned made by Alfred Seedy to the two infant defendants set aside and held for naught, But at the same time it does not appear whether or not said lands will in five years by renting pay the foregoing decree, the court for the present declines to set aside said deed of conveyance until it shall appear whether or not said land will in five years renting pay the said decree, and to obtain this fact. John A. B. Hyatt is appointed a commissioner to ascertain and report the annual rental value of the land in the bill mentioned & whether or not the same will said decree in five years. He will report his action to the court & the cause is continued

John E. Deane

no. 3 Deane No 1

Aggrad. Seedy vol

Entered page 373

J. A. Bryant. C. C.

Enter this
Augt 27/84.

Oct 5-8-

Mr Alfred Seedy of John M Morgan guardian
ad litem ^{for} David W. and Harvey J. Seedy.

You will take notice that at the clerks office
of the circuit court of Lee County on the 7th day of July
1884. I will proceed to take the deposition of John R.
Gibson which is intended to be read as evidence
on behalf of John E Debusk Peff against Alfred Seedy
David W. and Harvey J Seedy in a chancery suit now
pending in the circuit court of Lee County Va.

John E. Debusk by

H J Morgan

June the 28 1884.

3
Alfred Ledy tal
Executed by deliv-
ering a true copy
of this notice to
Alfred Ledy.
July 1 1864

S. H. Curing
D. S.

John E. Debush

Plff

In Ch'cy

vs
Alfred Leedy

Def

The deposition of John R. Gibson taken pursuant to notice, at the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Lee County, on the 7th day of July, 1884, which is intended to be read as evidence on the part of the plaintiff in the above styled suit, said John R. Gibson being duly sworn deposes and says. I am Clerk of Lee County Court and was such, at the time the deed made by Alfred Leedy to his two sons David W. & Harvey J. Leedy was filed for record - and while I can not remember the precise language used by the defendant Alfred Leedy on that occasion, the substance of it was this "That he had made the deed to his two children to avoid the payment of the costs of a certain Chancery suit which was then pending against him, brought by the Plaintiff in this Cause John E. Debush." I then remarked to him, That if that was his object that he

Alfred Leedy vs
John E. Debush

need not be telling it to me,
that I did not want to know
any thing about it, and here
the conversation ended as
I was busy waiting on the
Court which was sitting.

The Deed, a copy of which is
filed as exhibit "C," with the
Plaintiffs' bill, was brought and
filed in my office for record
by Alfred Leedy, when or at
which time the conversation
before stated took place.

And further this deponent saith not.

John R. Gibson

I, J. A. G. Hyatt a Commissioner in
Chancery for Lee County Court, do
hereby Certify that the foregoing
deposition, was taken before me
in the presence of the Defendant
Alfred Leedy, at the time and place
and for the purposes mentioned in
the notice & Caption, sworn to
subscribed in due form.

J. A. G. Hyatt
Clerk &c

John E. Debuck
vs. Depo.

Alfred Leedy

Taken before me as
Court in Chancery &
Filed July 7 1884

J. A. Hyatt
clerk

See for Depo. - \$1.50

Ad 5-5-

240 22/10

Alfred Reden.

Taken & filed Oct. 3rd 1884

J. H. K. K. K.

(15)

John E. Debusk

Plaintiff

vs

Alfred Seed, et al

Defendant

} In Dis.

The deposition of James Sarver
and David P. Woodward.

Taken at the time of taking an account
in the above styled Cause, at the Clerk's
Office of Lee Circuit Court on the 29th
day of September 1882.

Said Sarver being duly sworn
deposes and says—

I am well acquainted with the
land laid off to Alfred Seed, out of his
father's lands. it is a small tract
of land and nearly all of it is timber
land and none of it fenced or enclosed
to itself, a small portion is enclosed
in a field which was assigned to
other heirs, from these facts the said
lot of land would not rent for
any thing, it is only valuable on
account of its timber.

James Sarver
mark

(1 days claim 50^{cts})

31/10/19

1870
 1871
 1872
 1873
 1874
 1875
 1876
 1877
 1878
 1879
 1880
 1881
 1882
 1883
 1884
 1885
 1886
 1887
 1888
 1889
 1890
 1891
 1892
 1893
 1894
 1895
 1896
 1897
 1898
 1899
 1900

re put the - Your Commis-

Review of observed that and

Respectfully Submitted,

[illegible]

John C. Schenck
On Comr's Report

Filed Dec 24

Col. J. Schenck

Dr. J. Schenck

Comr's fee - \$5.00

John E. Debrish Peff }
 as. } In Chancery.
 Alfred Seedy vs Defts }

To the Hon John A. Kelly Judge of the Circuit Court of Lee County Virginia:

Pursuant to your decree entered in this cause on the 26th day of March 1885. I proceeded on the 20th day of May 1885 after having advertised the time terms and place of sale as shown by a copy of said notice herewith filed marked (A B) to offer the lands in the bill mentioned for sale to the highest bidder at the front door of the court house for cash in hand. Where Col A L Priddy offered for the 21 1/2 acre tract of land in the bill mentioned and Alfred Seedy interest in the lower land, the sum of \$75.00 and this being the highest & best offer made he became the purchaser thereof at that price. and he thereupon paid the purchase price, and is therefore entitled to a deed of conveyance

The land brought about a full and fair price and this sale ought to be confirmed. for other shares at private sale & on time have been sold for about the same price.

My account of this sale will stand as shown in the following tabular statement:

Gross amount of the above sale			\$ 75.00
1	By amt retained as 2 attorney fees for com. & for making deed	\$38.61	
2	By " paid Hyatt as clerk & cont. in first cause	15.63	
	By amt paid Sheriff in first cause.	50.	
4	By " Paid Hyatt as clerks fees in this suit	9.00	
5	By " " " " " " " "	4.50	
6	By " " Sheriff fees in this cause.	1.50	
7	By " " John M. Morgan Guard at Ct. for	5.00	
	" " " John Gibson Co. clerk fee	.50	
			74.64

From this statement it will be seen that after the payment
of the costs of the two suits there is only left in my hands
the sum of 36 cents. And the purchase money being fully
paid, the said Pardemon is entitled to a conveyance
of the land purchased by him

All which is respectfully submitted

Henry J. Morgan Special Comr

May 19-1885.

John E. Debusk

22. { Cont. Report of sale of land

Alfred Seedy sul

Filed May the 29th 1885

J. A. Hyatt

(AB)

70.74

John E. Delush.

1882	To Ayers & Morgan vs H. J. Morgan	27
May	To Bringing first suit vs. Alfred Seely	15.00
1883.	" Making deed as Cont. to Delush in part of Seely	2.50
1884 June	" Bringing Suit in this cause	15.00
"	" Commission on Sale	3.61
"	" Making deed to Col. Pindion on the purchase	<u>2.50</u>
I have retained this sum out of sale of land. . .		\$ 38.61

Henry J. Morgan

Attorneys fees in the
two causes of
John E. Dabuck

vs.

Alfred Lady.

Received of H. J. Morgan Comr. in
the Chancery Causes of John E. Deb-
usk against Alfred Ledy, Twenty
four Dollars & 79 cents, \$9.00 of which
is my fees in said Causes as
Comr. and \$15.79 the bal. thereof
is my fees in said Causes
as Clerk.

May 28th 1885: J. A. Hyatt & Co.

№ 2, 45

1884

June

John E. Debusch

To John M. Morgan atts

Dr

To writing and preparing answer as Guardian ad litem
for David W. & Harvey J. Sedy infant depts et al against
them & asked Sedy to sit aside dead.

\$5.00

Recd of H. J. Morgan cent. five dollars my fee in the suit
mentioned above. May 20th 1885.

John M. Morgan & AL

J M Morgan
Canadian Dominion

as } \$5.00

John E. Debusch

No. 7.

John E. Debush Poff
vs. } In Chy
Alfred Leedy & al Poffs }

To the Hon. John A. Kelly Judge of the Circuit
Court of Lee County Virginia:

As directed by your decree entered in this
cause on the 26th day of Augt. 1885 I have made
and acknowledged ready for record a deed conveying
to A. L. Prochemer the interest of deft Alfred Leedy in the
dower lands in the bill & proceedings mentioned and the
lot of land containing 2 1/2 acres referred to in said bill
and proceedings as lot No. 11. and the said deed is
herewith filed marked (B6)

Respectfully Submitted
Henry J. Morgan Const.

John E. Debusch

as $\frac{2}{3}$ Govt. Ref. of deed.

Alfred Seedy & Co.

Filed Aug. 1885

J. A. Hyatt & Co.

Virginia

Circuit Court Lee County Friday April 5th 1883.

John E. Debusk Peff
vs. } In Chy.
Alfred Leedy Deft

This cause came on to be heard on this day when among other things, It was adjudged ordered and decreed that the plaintiff recover of the deft. the cost of this suit C. 8.13. A 15.00. S- 50. Court 7.50

Virginia

Circuit Court September the 1st 1883.

The Same Peff
vs. } In Chy.
The Same Deft

C 8.13
A 15.00
S .50
Court 7.50
Cost, paid. 2.50
\$ 33.63

This cause came on finally to be heard on this day when among other things It was adjudged ordered and decreed that Geo. Morgan is entitled to \$2.50 for making a deed in the cause and the same is to be taxed as a part of the costs of suit.

I certify that the above are substantial extracts from the records of this suit and that the costs above taxed are correct.

J. A. Hyatt Clerk


John E. Debusk

vs. { Extract from Decree &c.

Alfred Sandy.

(A)

Dec 17. 1862



Lot No. 11 contains $21\frac{1}{2}$ acres and is bounded as follows to wit
 Beginning at a Black Oak and dead white Oak + thence $N 64 E$.
 $8\frac{1}{2}$ poles to a stake corner to the 12th lot, + with a line thence $N 17 W 87$.
 $76\frac{1}{2}$ poles to a Sassafras on the line, + with it $S 76\frac{1}{2} E 36$ poles to a chestnut
 Oak, thence with a line of the 10th lot $S 27 E 120$ poles to a white Oak on
 old line, and with it $N 22 E 33\frac{1}{2}$ poles to the Beginning

Partition of Joel Seedy's

Land among his heirs

Dover - Platt &c

(B)

D. W. Leedy et al
Trust } Deed

Alfred Leedy

Recorded Deed
Book No 210. Page 102

J. R. Litchell

(6)

—
Fee for copy 50¢

This Deed made & entered into on the fifteenth day of March one thousand eight hundred and eighty three (1883) by & between Alfred Leedy of Lee county, State of Virginia of the one part, and David W. Leedy and Harvey J. Leedy of the county and state aforesaid of the other part. Witnesseth that the said Alfred Leedy for and in consideration of the real love and affection the said Alfred Leedy bears towards the said David W. Leedy & Harvey J. Leedy them being his own children born in holy wedlock & also in consideration of the valuable sum of five dollars paid to the said Alfred Leedy the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged hath sold and conveyed & doth hereby deed & convey unto the said David W. and Harvey J. Leedy a certain tract or parcel of land in Lee county Virginia known as the portion of land allotted to the said Alfred Leedy as an heir of Joel Leedy deceased and bounded as follows To wit: Beginning at black oak & dead white oak and running thence N 42° 54' E poles to a shake corner to the 12th lot. and with a line thereof N 27° W 87 poles to a corner on Ely's line and with it S 70° W 86 poles to a chestnut oak thence with a line of the 10th lot S 27° E. 120 poles to a white oak on old line & with it S 22° E 33½ poles to the Beginning

Estimated to contain $2\frac{1}{2}$ acres to have and to hold the said tract of land with all its appurtenances to the sole use of them the said David W. Leedy & Harvey J. Leedy and their heirs forever, and the said Alfred Leedy doth covenant with the said David W. Leedy & Harvey J. Leedy to warrant generally said land hereby conveyed witness the following signature & seal day and date above written..

Alfred Leedy Seal

Virginia, Lee County, to wit:-

I John R. Gibson Clerk of the County Court in & for the County and State aforesaid do certify that Alfred Leedy whose name is signed to the foregoing deed bearing date March the 15th 1883, this day acknowledged the same before me in my County aforesaid and said deed is admitted to record.

Given under my hand this March 20th 1883.

John R. Gibson Clerk
of Lee County Court.

Attest of the Record:-

Teste John R. Gibson Clerk.

Know all men by these presents
that we H. J. Morgan and James
Morgan are held and firmly bound
unto the Commonwealth of Virginia in
the just and full sum of Two Hundred
Dollars, well and truly to be paid
by us unto the said Commonwealth
and for the true performance thereof
we each bind ourselves, heirs &c
and we as to this bond waive our
homestead exemptions, witness our
hands and seals this 1st Jan^y 1881
The condition of the above obli-
gation is such that whereas the
above bound Henry J. Morgan
was by a decree of Lee County Circuit
Court, in the Chancery Cause of
John E. Debusk vs Alfred Ledgate
appointed a Commissioner to
make sale of certain lands mentio-
ned in said Bill, Now therefore
should the said H. J. Morgan
faithfully perform the duties as
set out in said decree and well
& truly account for such

sums of money as he may
receive as such Commissioner,
then this obligation to be
void otherwise to remain
in full force and virtue.

Henry J. Morgan Seal
J. D. Morgan Seal

John E. DeLorack
Bond of Court
as M
Fred Ledy et al

Filed June 1st 1883
J. A. Hyatt & Co.

Alfred Seely deed dated
March 15 1883. conveyed To
David W. Seely, & Harway J. Seely.
his interest in Joel Seelys land.

33.63
<hr/>
33.63
33.63
33.63
15
<hr/>
149.52

THE COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA.

To The Sheriff Of Lee County Greeting :

We Command You to Summon

*Alfred Leedy,
David W. Leedy and Harvey J. Leedy*

To appear at the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Lee County, at the Courthouse on the first Monday in

July

next being rule day to answer a bill in Chancery exhibited in our said Court against

them

by

John E. Debush

And have then there this writ. Witness J. A. G. HYATT Clerk of our said Court at the Courthouse

This

30th day of *June*

188 *4*, in the 10 *8* year of the Commonwealth.

J. A. G. Hyatt Clerk

(H. J. M)

John C. Debush

vs $\frac{1}{3}$ Spain Chey

Alfred Leedy et al

To July Rules 1884

Executed by del-
ivering an office
copy of the within
Spa. to each of
the 3 parties named,
June 17th 1884.

S. H. Ewing
D.D.

for R. D. Flannery
S. L. C.

Chd 55-